Children & Young People Select Committee

21 November 2012

## PROGRESS UPDATES ON PREVIOUSLY AGREED RECOMMENDATIONS

## Summary

Members are asked to consider the assessments of progress contained within the attached Progress Updates on the implementation of previously agreed recommendations. There are outstanding recommendations from the Efficiency, Improvement and Transformation (EIT) reviews of Early Intervention Grant (EIG) and School Effectiveness

## **Detail**

- 1. Following the Cabinet consideration of scrutiny reports, accepted recommendations are then subject to a monitoring process to track their implementation.
- 2. Two main types of report are used. Initially this is by means of Action Plans detailing how services will be taking forward agreed recommendations. This is then followed by a Progress Report at a future agreed date. Evidence is submitted by the relevant department together with an assessment of progress against all recommendations. Should members of the Select Committee agree, those recommendations which have reached an assessment of '1' are then signed off as having been completed.
- In order to track those recommendations which are not signed off following the first round of Progress Reports, a series of progress updates are submitted for all Select Committees twice a year, in line with corporate reporting.
- 4. The assessment of progress for each recommendation should be categorised as follows:

| 1 Achieved (Fully)                        | The evidence provided shows that the recommendation has been fully implemented within the timescale specified                  |
|---|--|
| 2 On Track but not yet due for completion | The evidence provided shows that implementation of the recommendation is on track but the timescale specified has not expired. |
| 3 Slipped                                 | The evidence shows that progress on implementation has slipped.  |

|                | An anticipated date by which the recommendation is expected to become achieved should be advised and the reasons for the delay. |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4 Not Achieved | The evidence provided shows that the recommendation has not been fully achieved.  |  |  |  |  |
|                | An explanation for non achievement of the recommendation would be provided  |  |  |  |  |

5. **Appendix 1** sets out the outstanding recommendations for this Committee. Members are asked to review the update and indicate whether they agree with the assessment of progress.

Name of Contact Officer: Judith Trainer

**Post Title:** Scrutiny Team Leader **Telephone No.** 01642 528158

Email Address: judith.trainer@stockton.gov.uk

## Progress Update – EIT Review of Early Intervention Grant

| No.                             | Recommendation  | Lead<br>Responsibility | Finance<br>Manager   | Anticipated<br>Completion<br>Date/<br>Completion<br>Date             | Quarter 1&2 Evidence of progress Presented to Committee on 21/11/12 (Please state current position on recommendation or alternative action taken)                             | Q1&2 Savings/Costs to Date (please state whether actual or estimated) | Q1&2<br>Assessment<br>of progress<br>(Categories<br>1-4) |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| 4                               | Cabinet approve the transfer of Early Years services from Thornaby Family Centre to Riverbank and High Flyers Children's Centres. | Beccy Brown            | David<br>New   | June 2012  | As of June 2012 services were transferred to High Flyers Childrens Centre. The building has now been advertised for sale, lease or asset transfer under the EIT Asset Review. | N/A   | 1 – Fully<br>Achieved                                    |
| Predicted savings of Review N/A |   |                        | £4.5m p.a.<br>(note this<br>total EIG<br>saving<br>includes<br>"Other" and<br>"Youth"<br>strands of<br>the review. | Actual Savings of Review to Date (including all recommendations) N/A |   |   |  |
| Human Resources Implications    |   |                        | N/A  |  |   |   |  |

| No. | Recommendation   | Lead<br>Responsibility             | Finance<br>Manager | Anticipated<br>Completion<br>Date/<br>Completion<br>Date | Quarter 1&2 Evidence of progress Presented to Committee on 21/11/12 (Please state current position on recommendation or alternative action taken)  | Q1&2 Savings/Costs to Date (please state whether actual or estimated) | Q1&2<br>Assessment<br>of progress<br>(Categories<br>1-4) |
|-----|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 1   | To align and integrate teams to ensure efficient and effective service delivery and support for schools.       | L. Brown D. McConnell E. Jewitt HR | D. New             | July 2013  | <ul> <li>The Specialist Learning Service and Redhill Education Service have transferred from the Complex Needs Team to School Improvement. These staff are now aligned with those teams in School Improvement and Behaviour so that support for children and young people is targeted and impact measured.</li> <li>1 member of staff from the Specialist Learning Team made a request for voluntary redundancy which was approved.</li> <li>A consultant is supporting local authority officers to support schools in ensuring that they are safe places for children to learn and that appropriate procedures and working practices are in place.</li> <li>Budgetary provision has been reduced in line with Action 1.6</li> </ul> | Savings realised 2013/2014  | 1 – Fully<br>Achieved                                    |
| 2   | To review business models for commissioning and buy back services in the context of Campus Stockton Prospectus | L. Brown<br>J. Wright<br>E. Jewitt | D. New             | 2013   | <ul> <li>Staff are now in place to support managers in reviewing and improving current business models An agreed procedure is in place across the Service for use where new business or commissioning opportunities are identified to test the proposition</li> <li>3 year Business Plan for Tees Valley Music Service prepared and being considered by the cross LA</li> </ul>  |   | 1 – Fully<br>Achieved                                    |

| No.                          | Recommendation   | Lead<br>Responsibility  | Finance<br>Manager   | Anticipated<br>Completion<br>Date/<br>Completion<br>Date | Quarter 1&2 Evidence of progress Presented to Committee on 21/11/12 (Please state current position on recommendation or alternative action taken)  | Q1&2 Savings/Costs to Date (please state whether actual or estimated) | Q1&2<br>Assessment<br>of progress<br>(Categories<br>1-4) |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
|                              |  |                         |  |  | Board. Business Plan covers the period of the Grant secured in Summer 2012.  |   |  |
| 3                            | Put in place<br>modernised 'whole<br>system' school<br>improvement model,<br>rooted in<br>collaboration with<br>Stockton schools<br>and key partners<br>and stakeholders | L Brown<br>D. McConnell | D. New   | July 2013  | <ul> <li>Collaborative approach from<br/>Stockton Headteachers and local<br/>authority.in producing a position<br/>paper 'Sector-led whole system<br/>school improvement and approach<br/>to structural change'. Model to be<br/>in place from January 2012</li> </ul> |   | 1 – Fully<br>Achieved                                    |
|                              | cted savings of Revie  | w                       | ı  | £200k per  | Actual Savings of Review to Date   |   |  |
| £ 200,000 per annum          |  |                         | annum +<br>one off<br>£0.5m  | (including all recommendations)<br>£200,000              |  |   |  |
| Human Resources Implications |  |                         | All of the recommendations within the report have been achieved and the review has been completed and the new structure has now been implemented |  |  |   |  |